

FOLLOW-UP ON UNAPPROVED MARICOPA LJS' PLAN

Karl Heckart

Commission on Technology

November 20, 2015

Applicable Rules and Regs

Arizona State Constitution Article 6

- Section 1: Judicial power shall be vested in an integrated judicial department
- Section 3: The supreme court shall have administrative supervision over all courts of the state

Applicable Rules and Regs

ACJA 1-201: Administrative Code

- The Code of Judicial Administration is adopted to implement the supreme court's Article 6, Section 3 administrative supervision of all the courts in the state

Applicable Rules and Regs

ACJA 1-501: Court Automation Standards

- Courts shall use state sponsored and authorized automation systems except as provided in exceptions
- Courts on existing systems other than state standards...shall migrate to state-standard systems when they replace their current systems unless excepted
- Courts shall participate in mandated statewide automation initiatives with no expectation for state funding
 - Statistical reporting, EDMS, justice integration
 - eFiling, public access to data
 - Central data and document repositories

Applicable Rules and Regs

ACJA 1-501: Court Automation Standards

- Each IT Strategic Plan shall include a description of all automation and technology projects
- Each IT Strategic Plan shall include a description of plans for required migration to state sponsored and authorized systems

Applicable Rules and Regs

1-505: Enterprise Architecture Standards

- The cross-jurisdictional nature of criminal justice activities supports adopting common architectures... by setting enterprise-wide, leveragable standards for information technology
- All courts shall comply with the standards except as provided in the exception process
- Courts shall migrate to systems in compliance with the standards when they replace their current systems, unless exception is granted
- IT strategic plan shall include a reference to standards incorporated in automation and technology projects as well as any deviations from them

Applicable Rules and Regs

1-109: Commission on Technology

- COT shall
 - Develop and submit for approval statewide technical standards to be used in all court automation projects...
 - Encourage projects which use technology to increase accessibility to the courts, improve court efficiency, and improve court management
 - Review and approve or disapprove court technology projects that exceed a cost of \$250,000

Applicable Rules and Regs

5-205: Collections

- Courts planning expansion of existing collection services separate from the FARE program shall submit a plan to AJC for approval prior to proceeding with development and implementation
 - Expansion means any activity that requires...technology development or enhancement, including web-related services

Maricopa County LJ Courts

- Plan held for more details about future of current CMSs
- Met with individual judges, court admins, IT resources to learn support/maintenance/enhancement picture for their current systems
- Examined courts' current statewide interfaces
 - Defensive Driving, MVD Reporting, ADRS/DPS, CPOR, FARE, Debt Setoff/TIP
- Returning to share local strategy and support learnings before reconsidering Maricopa LJ's "lite" update plan

Court/'14 Filings	CMS	Age, years
Chandler 38,587	CJIS	20+
Gilbert 28,334	FullCourt Enterprise V<5.3	16
Mesa 109,261	Themis (enhanced)	5
Phoenix 245,861	Phoenix CMS	16
Scottsdale 99,062	V3/CourtEZ (AZTEC-ish)	10 (Wizard)
Tempe 65,002	Themis	5

Purple indicates courts visited

Court-by-Court Roundup: Chandler

- System integrates other Chandler criminal justice entities with court
- Progress database remains in vendor support
- Work underway on updating user interface
- EDMS path not certain yet
- Awaiting AOC direction and specifications to form CCI and CDR plans
- No plans to move to state system over next 3-5 years

Court-by-Court Roundup: Phoenix

- Porting source code from JAM to Panther to allow GUI screens, enhanced integration and support
- Current focus is Police Dept. RMS integration
- No plans to move to state system over next 3-5 years
- Magnitude of JAM-to-Panther project requires a JPIJ

Court-by-Court Roundup: Gilbert

- Vendor (JSI) continues to upgrade & support CMS
- Planning vendor-supplied eFiling and eCitation services
- Moving to non-standard document management approach within CMS; requires exception to drop OnBase
- Will need to build CMS interface to FARE and restore former CPOR functionality
- No plans to move to state system over next 3-5 years

Court-by-Court Roundup: Scottsdale

- AZTEC modifications / additions
 - Substantially modified user experience of AZTEC thru screen & process enhancements over time
 - Significant additions to backend Informix database
 - System effectively no longer AZTEC
- Now planning to change DB to city-standard SQL
- No plans to move to state system over next 3-5 years
- Need an exception approved before any further CMS work is done

Maricopa LJs Next Steps

- State-standard software must prove better than current software since insufficient overhead exists for less efficient business process changes
- All courts indicate no CMS replacement projects necessary during 3-5 year plan period
 - Maintenance, “facelifting,” added functions will continue
- Prioritize efforts to complete statewide interfaces and meet future requirements for integration to state systems
- Approve “lite” update plan without CMS replacement project information, collect CMS and software inventory details next plan